

Approximating the independence number via the ϑ -function

Noga Alon *

Nabil Kahale †

Abstract

We describe an approximation algorithm for the independence number of a graph. If a graph on n vertices has an independence number $n/k + m$ for some fixed integer $k \geq 3$ and some $m > 0$, the algorithm finds, in random polynomial time, an independent set of size $\tilde{\Omega}(m^{3/(k+1)})$, improving the best known previous algorithm of Boppana and Halldorsson that finds an independent set of size $\Omega(m^{1/(k-1)})$ in such a graph. The algorithm is based on semi-definite programming, some properties of the Lovász ϑ -function of a graph and the recent algorithm of Karger, Motwani and Sudan for approximating the chromatic number of a graph. If the ϑ -function of an n vertex graph is at least $Mn^{1-2/k}$ for some absolute constant M , we describe another, related, efficient algorithm that finds an independent set of size k . Several examples show the limitations of the approach and the analysis together with some related arguments supply new results on the problem of estimating the largest possible ratio between the ϑ -function and the independence number of a graph on n vertices.

*Department of Mathematics, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel and AT & T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA. Email: noga@math.tau.ac.il. Research supported in part by a USA-Israel BSF grant and by the Fund for Basic Research administered by the Israel Academy of Sciences.

†AT & T Labs-Research, Murray Hill, NJ 07974. Email: kahale@research.att.com. This work was partly done while the author was at XEROX PARC, and partly at DIMACS.

⁰Keywords: Independence number of a graph, semi-definite programming, approximation algorithms.

1 Introduction

An *independent set* of a graph is a subset of vertices that contains no pair of neighbors. The *independence number* $\alpha(G)$ of a graph G is the size of a largest independent set in G . Determining or estimating $\alpha(G)$ is a fundamental problem in Theoretical Computer Science. The problem of computing $\alpha(G)$ is known to be NP-hard [19]. The best known approximation algorithm for the independence number, designed by Boppana and Halldorsson [7], has a performance guarantee of $O(n/(\log n)^2)$, where n is the number of vertices in the graph. Boppana and Halldorsson's algorithm performs better when the graph contains a large independent set. Indeed, they showed that if the independence number exceeds $n/k + m$, where k is a fixed integer and $m > 0$, then an independent set of size $\Omega(m^{1/(k-1)})$ can be found in polynomial time. On the negative side, it has recently been shown in [3], improving previous results in [11], [4], that for some $\epsilon > 0$ it is impossible to approximate in polynomial time the independence number of a graph within a factor of n^ϵ , assuming $P \neq NP$. The exponent ϵ has since been improved under similar hardness assumptions, and very recently it has been shown by Håstad [16] that it is in fact larger than $(1 - \delta)$ for every positive δ , assuming NP does not have polynomial time randomized algorithms.

Another fundamental quantity associated with a graph G is its *chromatic number* $\chi(G)$. A *proper coloring* of a graph is an assignment of colors to each vertex of the graph so that adjacent vertices have different colors. The chromatic number is the minimum number of colors used in a proper coloring. The best known approximation algorithm [15] for the chromatic number of a graph on n vertices has a performance guarantee of $O(n(\log \log n)^2/(\log n)^3)$.

In this paper we obtain an improved approximation algorithm for the independence number by considering the ϑ -function of the graph. This function, introduced by Lovász [23], can be defined as follows. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, an *orthonormal labeling* (or *orthonormal representation*) of G is an assignment of a unit vector a_v in an Euclidean space to each vertex v of G , such that $a_u \cdot a_v = 0$ if $u \neq v$ and $(u, v) \notin E$. The ϑ -function $\vartheta(G)$ is equal to the minimum over all unit vectors d and all orthonormal labelings (a_v) of G of

$$\max_{v \in V} \frac{1}{(d \cdot a_v)^2}.$$

The ϑ -function satisfies the inequality

$$\alpha(G) \leq \vartheta(G) \leq \chi(\overline{G}),$$

where \overline{G} is the complement of G . Moreover, the ϑ -function can be computed in polynomial time at an arbitrary precision [17]. The number $\chi(\overline{G})$ is also referred to as the *clique cover number* of the graph.

Here we study the gap between the ϑ -function and the independence number. We show in Section 3 that for any fixed integer $k \geq 3$, if $\vartheta(G) \geq n/k + m$ then $\alpha(G) \geq \tilde{\Omega}(m^{3/(k+1)})$. Here, and in what follows, the notation $g(n) = \tilde{\Omega}(f(n))$ means, as usual, that $g(n) \geq \Omega(f(n)/(\log n)^c)$ for some constant c independent of n . The notation $g(n) = \tilde{O}(f(n))$ is defined similarly. Our proof is algorithmic, that is, if $\vartheta(G) \geq n/k + m$ then an independent set of size $\tilde{\Omega}(m^{3/(k+1)})$ can be found in randomized polynomial time, thus improving Boppana and Halldorsson's result. Our proof and algorithm uses semi-definite programming, along the ideas in [17, 13], together with the recent work by Karger, Motwani and Sudan [18]. It is worth noting that the authors of [7] showed that no

approximation algorithm (with an arbitrary running time) which is based on a subgraph exclusion procedure like most of the previous algorithms for the independent set problem (including the one in [7]), can approximate the maximum independent set as well as our algorithm here, showing that the application of some other tools is indeed crucial.

In Section 4 we show that if $g(n)$ is a function of n such that, for any graph G on n vertices, $\chi(\overline{G}) \leq g(n)\vartheta(G)$, then for any graph G on n vertices, $\vartheta(G) \leq H_n g(n)\alpha(G)$, where $H_n = 1 + 1/2 + \dots + 1/n (= O(\log n))$. This improves a recent result of Szegedy [24] by a $\log n$ factor.

In Section 5 we bound the ϑ -function of graphs with small independence number. We show that if $\alpha(G) < k$, then $\vartheta(G) \leq Mn^{1-2/k}$, where M is an absolute constant. This generalizes a result in [20] where the case $k = 3$ was treated (in a disguised form.) For $k = 3$ the above estimate is shown to be tight in [1]. We also show that if $\vartheta(G) > Mn^{1-2/k}$, then an independent set of size k can be found in polynomial time in n (independent of k). By a very recent result of Feige [10] that applies the randomized graph products technique of Berman and Schnitger [6], there are graphs G on n vertices with an independence number $\alpha(G) < k$ whose ϑ -function satisfies $\vartheta(G) \geq \Omega(n^{1-O(1/\log k)})$, showing that our $O(n^{1-2/k})$ upper bound is not very far from being best possible. We also generalize Kashin and Konyagin's result in a different direction by showing that if the complement of a graph G has no odd cycle of length at most $2s + 1$, then $\vartheta(G) \leq 1 + (n - 1)^{1/(2s+1)}$. This bound can be shown to be nearly tight by modifying the construction in [1].

In Section 6 we show that the result in Section 3 cannot be significantly improved by giving, for every $\epsilon > 0$, an explicit family of graphs on n vertices whose ϑ -function is at least $(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)n$ and whose independence number is $O(n^\delta)$, where $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) < 1$. We note that this construction is tight in the sense that if the ϑ -function exceeds $(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)n$, then the independence number is $\Omega(n)$. Our construction is based on a combinatorial result of Frankl and Rödl [12] and extends a construction in [2]. The final Section 7 contains some concluding remarks and open problems.

2 The ϑ -function and Ramsey theory

For integers $k, s, n \geq 2$, let $r(k, s) = \binom{k+s-2}{k-1}$, and $t_k(n) = \max\{s \mid r(k, s) \leq n\}$. It is well known in Ramsey theory [9] that any graph G with at least $r(k, s)$ vertices contains either a clique of size k or an independent set of size s . Moreover, a clique of size k or an independent set of size s can be found in G in polynomial time (as a function of the input size.) Boppana and Halldorsson [7] show that if a graph G on n vertices contains an independent set of size $n/k + m$, then an independent set of size $t_k(m)$ can be found in polynomial time. Their strategy is to repeatedly delete from G a clique of size k until the remaining graph contains no such clique. Since the number of cliques removed is obviously at most n/k and since each clique contains at most one vertex from an independent set, the remaining graph has at least m vertices. Moreover, it contains no clique of size k . Thus an independent set of size $t_k(m)$ can be found in polynomial time in the remaining graph. Note that, for fixed k , $t_k(m) = \Omega(m^{1/(k-1)})$.

A careful look at Boppana and Halldorsson's algorithm yields the following.

Proposition 2.1 *If $\chi(\overline{G}) \geq n/k + m$, then an independent set of size $t_k(m)$ can be found in G in polynomial time.*

Proof Each time a clique is removed from the graph, the clique cover number diminishes by at most 1. Since at most n/k cliques have been removed, the clique cover number of the remaining

graph is at least m . Thus the remaining graph has at least m vertices. We conclude as before that an independent set of size $t_k(m)$ can be found in polynomial time in the remaining graph. \square

Corollary 2.2 *If $\vartheta(G) \geq n/k + m$, then an independent set of size $t_k(m)$ can be found in G in polynomial time.*

3 Improved approximation for the independence number

When k is a fixed integer, we have the following.

Theorem 3.1 *For any fixed integer $k \geq 3$, if $\vartheta(G) \geq n/k + m$, then an independent set of size $\tilde{\Omega}(m^{3/(k+1)})$ can be found in randomized polynomial time.*

Note that as shown in [7] such an approximation algorithm cannot be based on a subgraph exclusion procedure as in [7]. A similar result can be proved for non integer values of k , but since its precise statement is somewhat cumbersome we omit it here. The need for the integrality of k is in the proof of the main result of [18], which can be modified to yield certain estimates for non-integral k as well.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 uses a recent result by Karger, Motwani and Sudan [18]. As defined in [18], the *vector chromatic number* of a graph is the minimum real number h such that there exists an assignment of a unit vector a_v to each vertex v satisfying the inequality $a_v \cdot a_w \leq -1/(h-1)$ whenever (v, w) is an edge. It is shown in [18] that if the vector chromatic number of a graph G on n vertices is at most h for some fixed integer $h \geq 3$, then G can be properly colored with at most $\tilde{O}(n^{1-3/(h+1)})$ colors in randomized polynomial time. Karger, Motwani and Sudan [18] also define the *strict vector chromatic number* as the minimum real number h such that there exists an assignment of unit vectors a_v to each vertex v satisfying the equality $a_v \cdot a_w = -1/(h-1)$ whenever (v, w) is an edge. They show that the strict vector chromatic number of a graph G is equal to $\vartheta(\overline{G})$. By definition, the vector chromatic number is always upper bounded by the strict vector chromatic number.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.1. We first show that if $\vartheta(G) \geq n/k + m$, then G contains an independent set of size $\tilde{\Omega}(m^{3/(k+1)})$. It is known [23] that $\vartheta(G)$ is the maximum over all unit vectors d and all orthonormal labelings (b_v) of the complement \overline{G} of G of $\sum_{v \in V} (d \cdot b_v)^2$, and that the maximum is attained. This characterization of the ϑ -function will be called the *dual characterization*. It implies immediately that $\alpha(G) \leq \vartheta(G)$. Indeed, if I is an independent set, then by setting $b_v = e$ for $v \in I$, where e is any unit vector, and by assigning an orthonormal family orthogonal to e to the remaining vertices, we get an orthonormal representation of \overline{G} . For this representation, it is clear that $\sum_{v \in V} (b_v \cdot e)^2 = |I|$.

Let d be a unit vector and (b_v) an orthonormal labeling of \overline{G} such that $\vartheta(G) = \sum_{v \in V} (d \cdot b_v)^2$. We will use the family (b_v) to find a large independent set in G . Without loss of generality, label the vertices from 1 to n and assume that $(d \cdot b_1)^2 \geq (d \cdot b_2)^2 \geq \dots \geq (d \cdot b_n)^2$. The inequalities $(d \cdot b_1)^2 + (d \cdot b_2)^2 + \dots + (d \cdot b_m)^2 \geq n/k + m$ and $(d \cdot b_i)^2 \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ imply that $(d \cdot b_m)^2 \geq 1/k$. Let K be the subgraph of G induced on $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. The family (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) is clearly an orthonormal labeling of \overline{K} . It follows from the definition of the ϑ -function in Section 1 that $\vartheta(\overline{K}) \leq k$. From the discussion in the beginning of this section, we conclude that the vector chromatic number of K is at most k , and thus K can be properly colored with $\tilde{O}(m^{1-3/(k+1)})$ colors

in randomized polynomial time. The largest color class forms an independent set of K (and thus an independent set of G) of size $\tilde{\Omega}(m^{3/(k+1)})$.

To conclude the proof of the theorem, we show how to find in polynomial time a unit vector d and an orthonormal labeling $(b_v), v \in V$ of G such that $\sum_{v \in V} (d \cdot b_v)^2 \geq \vartheta(G) - 1$. (The preceding argument shows that this inequality suffices for our needs, since the same argument would still be valid by replacing m with $m - 1$.) One way to achieve this goal is to use another characterization of the ϑ -function. Let B range over all positive semi-definite symmetric matrices indexed by V such that $\text{tr}(B) = 1$ and $b_{uv} = 0$ whenever $(u, v) \in E, u \neq v$. Then $\vartheta(G)$ is the maximum over all such matrices [23] of $\sum_{u, v \in V} b_{uv}$. A matrix B satisfying the above conditions and such that $\sum_{u, v \in V} b_{uv} \geq \vartheta(G) - 1$ can be found in polynomial time in n using the ellipsoid method [17]. Since B is positive semi-definite, there exist vectors c_u such that $c_u \cdot c_v = b_{uv}$, for all $u, v \in V$. Let $b_v = c_v / \|c_v\|$, and

$$d = \frac{\sum_{v \in V} c_v}{\|\sum_{v \in V} c_v\|}.$$

Clearly, the family (b_v) is an orthonormal representation of \overline{G} . Moreover, it is shown implicitly in [23, Th. 5] that $\sum_{v \in V} (d \cdot b_v)^2 \geq \sum_{u, v \in V} b_{uv}$. Thus $\sum_{v \in V} (d \cdot b_v)^2 \geq \vartheta(G) - 1$. Note that, given B , the vectors c_v can be computed at an arbitrary precision in polynomial time using a Cholesky factorization [14, Sec. 4.2] of B . \square

4 Comparing the worst-case ratios

It is shown in [24] that if $f(n)$ is a monotone increasing function such that, for every n and every graph G on n vertices $\vartheta(G) \leq \alpha(G)f(n)$ holds, then for every n and for every graph G on n vertices $\vartheta(G) \geq \chi(\overline{G})/(f(n) \log n)$ holds. It is also shown that if $g(n)$ is a monotone increasing function such that, for every n and every graph G on n vertices $\vartheta(G) \geq \chi(\overline{G})/g(n)$ holds, then for every n and for every graph G on n vertices $\vartheta(G) \leq 8 \log^2 n g(n) \alpha(G)$ holds. We improve the latter result by a logarithmic factor and observe that it is not needed to require that g be monotone.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $g(n)$ be a function of n such that, for any graph G on n vertices, $\chi(\overline{G}) \leq g(n)\vartheta(G)$. Then for any graph G on n vertices, $\vartheta(G) \leq H_n g(n) \alpha(G)$.*

Proof Without loss of generality, assume that $g(n)$ is the maximum over all graphs G on n vertices of the ratio $\chi(\overline{G})/\vartheta(G)$. Consider the operation of adjoining an extra vertex to a graph by connecting it to every vertex. It is known (see e.g. [21, p. 20]) that the ϑ -function remains the same under this operation. It is also easy to see that the clique cover number remains the same. It follows that $g(n)$ is an increasing function of n .

Let G be a graph on n vertices. Following the notation of Section 3, let d be a unit vector, let (b_v) be an orthonormal labeling of \overline{G} such that $\vartheta(G) = \sum_{v \in V} (d \cdot b_v)^2$, and assume that $(d \cdot b_1)^2 \geq (d \cdot b_2)^2 \geq \dots \geq (d \cdot b_n)^2$. Note that $(d \cdot b_i)^2 \geq \vartheta(G)/(H_n i)$, for some $i \in [1, n]$. This is because otherwise $(d \cdot b_i)^2 < \vartheta(G)/(H_n i)$ for all i , and by summing these inequalities for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we get a contradiction. Let K be the subgraph of G induced on $\{1, 2, \dots, i\}$. The definition of the ϑ -function in Section 1 shows that $\vartheta(\overline{K}) \leq 1/(d \cdot b_i)^2 \leq H_n i / \vartheta(G)$. Since $\chi(K) \leq g(i)\vartheta(\overline{K}) \leq g(n)\vartheta(\overline{K})$, we conclude that $\chi(K) \leq g(n)H_n i / \vartheta(G)$. Thus K contains an independent set of size $i/\chi(K) \geq \vartheta(G)/(H_n g(n))$. Hence $\alpha(G) \geq \vartheta(G)/(H_n g(n))$, as desired. \square

5 Graphs with a small independence number

Kashin and Konyagin [20] show (in a disguised form) that for any graph G on n vertices, if $\alpha(G) < 3$ then $\vartheta(G) \leq 2^{2/3}n^{1/3}$. We generalize their result for larger bounds on $\alpha(G)$, and also for graphs whose complement contains no short odd cycles.

Theorem 5.1 *There exists an absolute constant M such that for any graph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices and any integer $k \geq 2$, if $\alpha(G) < k$ then $\vartheta(G) \leq Mn^{1-2/k}$.*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $f_k(n) = \max \vartheta(H)$, where H ranges over all graphs on n vertices satisfying the condition $\alpha(H) < k$. If $G = (V, E)$ is a graph such that $\alpha(G) < k$ and Δ is the maximum degree of \overline{G} , then $\vartheta(G) \leq 1 + \sqrt{\Delta f_{k-1}(\Delta)}$.*

Proof The ϑ -function can be shown (see e.g. [21]) to be equal to the maximum over all orthonormal labelings (b_v) of \overline{G} of the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $(b_u \cdot b_v)$ indexed by the vertices of G .

For $u \in V$, let H_u be the subgraph of G induced on the set of neighbors of u in \overline{G} . Since H_u contains no neighbor of u (in G), $\alpha(H_u) < k - 1$. Moreover, H_u has at most Δ vertices. The argument in Section 4 shows that $f_k(n)$ is an increasing function of n . Thus $\vartheta(H_u) \leq f_{k-1}(\Delta)$.

Since $(b_v), v \in H_u$ is an orthonormal labeling of $\overline{H_u}$, it follows from the dual characterization of the ϑ -function (taking $d = b_u$) that $\sum_{v \in H_u} (b_u \cdot b_v)^2 \leq \vartheta(H_u) \leq f_{k-1}(\Delta)$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, it follows that $\sum_{v \in H_u} |b_u \cdot b_v| \leq \sqrt{\Delta f_{k-1}(\Delta)}$. On the other hand, since (b_v) is an orthonormal labeling of \overline{G} , $b_u \cdot b_v = 0$ if $v \neq u$ and v is not in H_u . We conclude that $\sum_{v \in V} |b_u \cdot b_v| \leq 1 + \sqrt{\Delta f_{k-1}(\Delta)}$, for any $u \in V$. Consequently, the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $(b_u \cdot b_v)$ is at most $1 + \sqrt{\Delta f_{k-1}(\Delta)}$. Since this inequality holds for all orthonormal labelings of G , we conclude that $\vartheta(G) \leq 1 + \sqrt{\Delta f_{k-1}(\Delta)}$. \square

Fact 5.3 *If the vertex set V of a graph G is split into l pairwise disjoint subsets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_l , for an integer $l \geq 1$, the ϑ -function of G is upper bounded by the sum of the ϑ -functions of the subgraphs induced on the V_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$.*

Proof This follows immediately from the dual characterization of the ϑ -function. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.1. The proof is by induction on k . The base case $k = 2$ is easy since the ϑ -function of the complete graph is 1. Assume now that the induction hypothesis holds for $k - 1$, that is $f_{k-1}(n) \leq Mn^{1-2/(k-1)}$, where M is a constant to be determined later. We prove by induction on n that $f_k(n) \leq Mn^{1-2/k}$. Since $\vartheta(G) \leq n$, the inequality $f_k(n) \leq Mn^{1-2/k}$ is trivial when $n \leq M^{k/2}$. Assume now that $f_k(m) \leq Mm^{1-2/k}$ for $m < n$. Let G be a graph on n vertices such that $\alpha(G) < k$, and define $\Delta = 9n^{1-1/k}$. Assume for simplicity that Δ is an integer. We distinguish two possible cases:

1. The maximum degree of \overline{G} is at most Δ . By Lemma 5.2 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(G) &\leq 1 + \sqrt{\Delta f_{k-1}(\Delta)} \\ &\leq 1 + \sqrt{\Delta M \Delta^{1-2/(k-1)}} \\ &\leq 1 + 9\sqrt{M} n^{1-2/k} \\ &\leq Mn^{1-2/k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds if M is a sufficiently large constant.

2. There exists a vertex u of G that has more than Δ neighbors in \overline{G} . Let $U \subset V$ be a subset of Δ neighbors of u in \overline{G} , H the subgraph of G induced on U , and K the subgraph of G induced on $V - \{u\} - U$. It follows from Fact 5.3 that $\vartheta(G) \leq 1 + \vartheta(H) + \vartheta(K)$. But $\vartheta(H) \leq f_{k-1}(\Delta)$ since $\alpha(H) < k - 1$, and $\vartheta(K) \leq f_k(n - \Delta - 1)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(G) &\leq 1 + M\Delta^{1-2/(k-1)} + f_k(n - \Delta) \\ &\leq M\frac{k}{k-2}\Delta^{1-2/(k-1)} + M(n - \Delta)^{1-2/k}. \end{aligned}$$

(The second inequality holds since we are assuming $n \geq M^{k/2}$, and thus $\Delta^{1-2/(k-1)} \geq M^{(k-3)/2}$. So it suffices that $2M^{(k-1)/2} \geq k - 2$, for all $k \geq 3$.) Since $n^{1-2/k}$ is a concave function of n , $(n - \Delta)^{1-2/k} \leq n^{1-2/k} - \Delta(1 - 2/k)n^{-2/k}$. Hence

$$\vartheta(G) \leq Mn^{1-2/k} - M\Delta(1 - 2/k)n^{-2/k} + M\frac{k}{k-2}\Delta^{1-2/(k-1)}.$$

But $\Delta^{-2/(k-1)} = 9^{-2/(k-1)}n^{-2/k} \leq (1 - 2/k)^2n^{-2/k}$. This is because $\left(\frac{k}{k-2}\right)^{k-1} \leq 9$, for $k \geq 3$. We conclude that $\vartheta(G) \leq Mn^{1-2/k}$, and thus $f_k(n) \leq Mn^{1-2/k}$, as desired. \square

Theorem 5.4 *If G is a graph on n vertices such that $\vartheta(G) > M'n^{1-2/k}$ for an appropriate absolute constant M' , an independent set in G of size k can be found in polynomial time.*

Proof This follows from the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

Corollary 5.5 *If u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n are n unit vectors, and among any k of them some 2 are orthogonal, then $\|\sum_{i=1}^n u_i\| \leq \sqrt{M}n^{1-1/k}$.*

Proof Consider the graph G on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where (i, j) is an edge if and only if $u_i \cdot u_j = 0$. It is clear that (u_i) is an orthonormal representation of \overline{G} . Thus the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $P = (u_i \cdot u_j)$ is at most $\vartheta(G)$. In particular, $\sum_{i,j} u_i \cdot u_j = \mathbf{1} \cdot P\mathbf{1} \leq n\vartheta(G)$. Equivalently, $\|\sum u_i\|^2 \leq n\vartheta(G)$. But $\alpha(G) < k$ by hypothesis, and so $\vartheta(G) \leq Mn^{1-2/k}$. Combining this with the preceding inequality we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 5.5 has already been established [22, 20] for the special case $k = 3$. For this case it is tight up to a constant factor, as shown in [1].

It follows from Theorem 5.4 that if the independence number exceeds $M'n^{1-2/k}$, an independent set in G of size k ($\leq \log n$) can be found in polynomial time. A simpler algorithm can be used to achieve a slightly stronger result, however, following the ideas in [5]. Partition the vertices of the graph into $M'n^{1-2/k}/Ck$ subsets, each of size $Ckn^{2/k}/M'$, where $C > 0$ is any constant. The hypothesis implies that at least one of these subsets contains an independent set of size Ck . We can search for such an independent set in each of these subsets by brute-force search. The running time of the algorithm is polynomial since

$$\binom{\frac{Ckn^{2/k}}{M'}}{k} \leq n^{O(C)}.$$

5.1 Graphs with no short odd cycles

We give in this subsection another generalization of Kashin and Konyagin's aforementioned result.

Proposition 5.6 *Let G be a graph on a set of n vertices. If the complement of G has no odd cycle of length at most $2s + 1$, the ϑ -function of G does not exceed $1 + (n - 1)^{1/(2s+1)}$.*

Proof Again, we use the fact that the ϑ -function is equal to the maximum over all orthonormal labelings (b_v) of \overline{G} of the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $B = (b_u \cdot b_v)$ indexed by the vertices of G . Let (b_v) be an orthonormal labeling of \overline{G} that achieves this maximum. Since b_{uv} , $u \neq v$, is non-zero only if $(u, v) \in \overline{G}$, the absence of odd cycles of length at most $2s + 1$ in \overline{G} implies that every diagonal entry of the matrix $(B - I)^{2s+1}$ is zero. In particular, $\text{tr}((B - I)^{2s+1}) = 0$. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of B . It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - 1)^{2s+1} = 0$. Since B is positive semi-definite, the λ_i 's are non-negative. Thus $(\lambda_1 - 1)^{2s+1} \leq n - 1$, and so $\lambda_1 \leq 1 + (n - 1)^{1/(2s+1)}$, as desired. \square

Remark. Up to a multiplicative constant factor c_s depending on s , the bound in Proposition 5.6 can be shown to be tight by modifying the construction in [1].

6 Linear ϑ -function and sublinear independence number

By Corollary 2.2, if the ϑ -function of a graph on n vertices is at least $(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)n$, then the independence number is $\Omega(n)$. In this section we show that if ϑ is slightly smaller, then the independence number may be n^δ for some $\delta < 1$.

Theorem 6.1 *For every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an explicit family of graphs on n vertices whose ϑ -function is at least $(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)n$ and whose independence number is $O(n^\delta)$, where $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) < 1$.*

The construction is based on a combinatorial result of Frankl and Rödl [12] and extends a construction in [2]. In fact, by interpreting the result in [2] appropriately one may note that it supplies (in a disguised form) graphs with n vertices, $\vartheta \geq n/16$ and independence number at most $O(n^{0.85002})$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1 For a pair of integers $q > s > 0$ let $G(q, s)$ denote the graph on $n = \binom{2q}{q}$ vertices corresponding to all q -subsets of the $2q$ -element set $Q = \{1, 2, \dots, 2q\}$, where two vertices are adjacent iff the intersection of their corresponding subsets is of cardinality precisely s . By the main result of Frankl and Rödl in [12], for every $\gamma > 0$ there is a $\mu = \mu(\gamma) > 0$ so that if $(1 - \gamma)q > s > \gamma q$ then every family of more than $2^{2q(1-\mu)}$ subsets of cardinality q of Q contains some pair of subsets whose intersection is of cardinality s . This means that the independence number of the graph $G(q, s)$ for q and s that satisfy $(1 - \gamma)q > s > \gamma q$ satisfies

$$\alpha(G(q, s)) \leq n^\delta \tag{1}$$

for some $\delta = \delta(\gamma) < 1$.

We next estimate the ϑ -function of $G(q, s)$. Let

$$x = \frac{(q - s) + \sqrt{(q - s)^2 - s^2}}{s}$$

be the bigger root of the quadratic polynomial $sx^2 - 2(q - s)x + s$. Associate with every vertex u of $G(q, s)$ that corresponds to a subset U of cardinality q of Q the vector $d_u = (x + 1) \cdot 1_U - 1_Q$, where

1_U is the characteristic vector of U and 1_Q is the all 1 vector of length $2q$. Define $b_u = d_u/||d_u||$. A simple calculation shows that if u corresponds to subset U and v corresponds to subset V then $d_u \cdot d_v = |U \cap V|(x+1)^2 - 2qx$. In particular, $||d_u||^2 = qx^2 + q$. It also follows that the vectors b_u form an orthonormal labeling of $\overline{G}(q, s)$. Therefore, by the dual characterization of the ϑ -function and by letting d be the unit vector $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2q}}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ we conclude that

$$\vartheta(G(q, s)) \geq \sum_u (d \cdot b_u)^2 = n \frac{(qx - q)^2}{2q(qx^2 + q)} = n \frac{q - 2s}{2(q - s)},$$

since

$$(d \cdot b_u)^2 = \frac{(qx - q)^2}{2q(qx^2 + q)}$$

for every vertex u of $G(q, s)$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$ we can now choose s to be the largest integer smaller than $q/2$ for which

$$\frac{q - 2s}{2(q - s)} > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right).$$

It is easy to check that for this s , $s/q > \gamma$ for an appropriate positive $\gamma = \gamma(\epsilon)$ and the desired result now follows from (1). \square

7 Concluding Remarks

1. Any polynomial approximation algorithm that finds, in any n -vertex graph with independence number at least n/k , an independent set of size at least $\tilde{\Omega}(n^{\alpha k})$ easily supplies a polynomial algorithm for coloring any k -colorable graph on n vertices by $\tilde{O}(n^{1-\alpha k})$ colors. Indeed, this is done by simply applying the independence algorithm repeatedly. It follows that any improvement in the exponent of m in Theorem 3.1 will improve the exponent in the coloring algorithm of [18] (and vice versa, of course, as follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1). Note that the algorithm in Theorem 3.1 works for any graph with a large ϑ -function and, similarly, the algorithm of [18] works for any graph with a sufficiently small value of the ϑ -function of its complement. Therefore, the performance of both algorithms may be improved with a better understanding of the largest possible value of the ϑ -function of a graph on n vertices with a given independence number. It would be interesting to decide if this largest possible value is closer to the upper bounds provided for it by our results in Sections 3 and 5, or is closer to the lower bound given for it in [10]. A proof that the latter possibility holds would supply improved approximation algorithms for the independence number and chromatic number of a graph.
2. Estimating the largest possible ratio between the ϑ -function and the independence number of graphs on n vertices remains open, despite some recent progress. It is known [8] that $\chi(\overline{G}) \leq \alpha(G)n/\log^2 n$ for any graph on n vertices. As a consequence, $\vartheta(G) \leq \alpha(G)n/\log^2 n$. While the first inequality is tight up to a constant (e.g. for random graphs), it is an open question whether the same holds for the second inequality. The result of Feige [10] shows that there are graphs on n vertices for which this ratio is at least $\Omega(n/2^{O(\sqrt{\log n})})$, and it would be interesting to decide how tight this estimate is.

3. Improving a result in [20], it is shown in [1] that the bound in Corollary 5.5 is tight (up to a constant factor) when $k = 3$. Whether this is the case for higher values of k is another open question.

Acknowledgement We would like to thank Mario Szegedy for helpful discussions, suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] N. Alon. Explicit Ramsey graphs and orthonormal labelings. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 1 (1994), R12, 8pp.
- [2] N. Alon and Y. Peres. Euclidean Ramsey Theory and a construction of Bourgain. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 57:61–64, 1991.
- [3] S. Arora, C. Lund, R. Motwani, M. Sudan, and M. Szegedy. Proof verification and intractability of approximation problems. In *Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 14–23. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1992.
- [4] S. Arora and S. Safra. Probabilistic checking of proofs; a new characterization of NP. In *Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 2–13. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1992.
- [5] B. Berger and J. Rompel. A better performance guarantee for approximate graph coloring. *Algorithmica*, 5(4):459–466, 1990.
- [6] P. Berman and G. Schnitger. On the complexity of approximating the independent set problem. *Information and Computation*, 96:77–94, 1992.
- [7] R. Boppana and M. M. Halldorsson. Approximating maximum independent sets by excluding subgraphs. *BIT*, 32:180–196, 1992.
- [8] P. Erdős. Some remarks on chromatic graphs. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 16:253–256, 1967.
- [9] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres. A combinatorial problem in geometry. *Compositio Mathematica*, 2:463–470, 1935.
- [10] U. Feige. Randomized graph products, chromatic numbers, and the Lovász ϑ -function. In *27th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 635–640. ACM Press, 1995.
- [11] U. Feige, S. Goldwasser, L. Lovász, S. Safra, and M. Szegedy. Approximating Clique is almost NP-complete. In *Proceedings of the 32nd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 2–12. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1991.
- [12] P. Frankl and V. Rödl. Forbidden intersections. *Trans. AMS*, 300:259–286, 1987.
- [13] M. Goemans and D. Williamson. Improved Approximation Algorithms for Maximum Cut and Satisfiability Problems Using Semidefinite Programming. *J. ACM*, 42:1115–1145, 1995.

- [14] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, **Matrix Computations**, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1989.
- [15] M. M. Halldorsson. A still better performance guarantee for approximate graph coloring. *Information Processing Letters*, 45:19–23, 1993.
- [16] J. Håstad. Clique is hard to approximate within $n^{1-\epsilon}$. Proc. 37th IEEE FOCS, IEEE (1996), 627 – 636.
- [17] M. Grötschel, L. Lovász, and A. Schrijver. The ellipsoid method and its consequences in combinatorial optimization. *Combinatorica*, 1:169–197, 1981.
- [18] D. Karger, R. Motwani, and M. Sudan. Approximate graph coloring by semi-definite programming. In *35th Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 2–13. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1994.
- [19] R. Karp. *Reducibility among combinatorial problems*. Plenum Press, New York, 1972. Miller and Thatcher (eds).
- [20] B. S. Kashin and S. V. Konyagin. On systems of vectors in a Hilbert space. *Trudy Mat. Inst. imeni V. A. Steklova*, 157:64–67, 1981. English translation in: *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* (AMS 1983), 67–70.
- [21] D. E. Knuth. The sandwich theorem. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 1(A1):48pp., 1994.
- [22] S. V. Konyagin. Systems of vectors in Euclidean space and an extremal problem for polynomials. *Mat. Zametki*, 29:63–74, 1981. English translation in: *Mathematical Notes of the Academy of the USSR*, 29:33–39, 1981.
- [23] L. Lovász. On the Shannon capacity of a graph. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory IT-25*, pages 1–7, 1979.
- [24] M. Szegedy. A note on the ϑ number of Lovász and the generalized Delsarte bound. In *35th Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 36–39. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1994.